

APRIL, 1913 10 CENTS

The MASSES



Drawn by Arthur Young

APRIL FOOL

A STORY BY LINCOLN STEFFENS IN THIS NUMBER

Contributing Editors

LITERATURE

EUGENE WOOD
JOHN REED
INEZ HAYNES GILLMORE
ELLIS O. JONES
MAX EASTMAN
HORATIO WINSLOW
THOMAS SELTZER
MARY HEATON VORSE
JOSEPH O'BRIEN
LOUIS UNTERMAYER
LEROY SCOTT
WILLIAM ENGLISH WALLING
HOWARD BRUBAKER

TESTIMONIAL (+)

THE MASSES in its present form is simply the best thing in the Socialist movement in any country. I have seen most of the others; many of them I receive regularly. But this is the best. Der Wahre Jacob, Simplicissimus, Asino—The Masses stands above them all.

And what gives me the greatest joy is the fact that you have proved once for all that a Socialist publication need not represent this or that miserable little coterie. You stand simply and energetically for the working-class. I hope that in time your example will have some influence on other Socialist publications.

Fraternally yours,
WILLIAM E. BOHN.

A FREE MAGAZINE

THIS MAGAZINE IS OWNED AND PUBLISHED CO-OPERATIVELY BY ITS EDITORS. IT HAS NO DIVIDENDS TO PAY, AND WE ARE NOT TRYING TO MAKE MONEY OUT OF IT. A REVOLUTIONARY AND NOT A REFORM MAGAZINE; A MAGAZINE WITH A SENSE OF HUMOR AND NO RESPECT FOR THE RESPECTABLE; FRANK, ARROGANT, IMPERTINENT, SEARCHING FOR THE TRUE CAUSES; A MAGAZINE DIRECTED AGAINST RIGIDITY AND DOGMA WHEREVER IT IS FOUND; PRINTING WHAT IS TOO NAKED OR TRUE FOR A MONEY-MAKING PRESS; A MAGAZINE WHOSE FINAL POLICY IS TO DO AS IT PLEASES AND CONCILIATE NOBODY, NOT EVEN ITS READERS—THERE IS A FIELD FOR THIS PUBLICATION IN AMERICA. HELP US TO FIND IT.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Yearly, \$1.00 Half Yearly, 50 Cents

Bundle Rates and Newsdealers

Not less than 5, at 5 cents apiece non-returnable,
at 7 cents returnable.

Published Monthly.

Entered as second-class mail matter, December 27,
1910, at the postoffice of New York City, under
the Act of March 3, 1879

Anna M. Sloan, Business Mgr.

Contributing Editors

ART

JOHN SLOAN
ARTHUR YOUNG
ALICE BEACH WINTER
ALEXANDER POPINI
H. J. TURNER
CHARLES A. WINTER
MAURICE BECKER
WILLIAM WASHBURN NUTTING

TESTIMONIAL (-)

Editor of The Masses:

Why don't you put your page into 7 words, think like me, or be damned to you? When a man inquires sit on him. Half The Masses is taken up with sitting on those who dare peep, though not the half of the disagreeers in private are ever heard from. It's just a question how many people are antagonized every time.

A pretty expensive thing to run a magazine so many a month, and then sit hard on them the next month if they so much as peep against you. Take an incog. trip about town and see what the readers say when they are by themselves and not frightened.

ANONYMOUS.

(Send us some more, we like your style.
—The Editors.)

SPECIAL OFFER

The regular price of THE MASSES is \$1.00 a year.

The regular price of THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIALIST REVIEW is \$1.00 a year.

You can have both these magazines now for \$1.50 a year.

Write to

THE MASSES PUBLISHING COMPANY

150 Nassau Street
New York City

Interest Your Neighbors IN SOCIALISM

in a quiet way, and at the same time post yourself more thoroughly. For my plan simply drop me a post card with your name and address.

HARRY E. BURKHART,
65 Sell Street, Johnstown, Pa.

READ THE NEW REVIEW

The INTERNATIONAL SOCIALIST REVIEW

The FIGHTING MAGAZINE of the working class is read by wage slaves all over the world.

450,000 Sold last year.

Have you ever thought why you work for wages?

The REVIEW tells you.

What would happen if all the workers would use their brains and get together in the Mills, Mines, Shops, or, wherever they work by uniting in One Big Industrial Union?

The REVIEW tells you.

What would happen if all these workers united to vote for their own welfare by organizing in One Big Political Union?

The REVIEW tells you.

If you want to own yourself instead of being whistled in and out—you must own your job.

If you want laws to protect yourself instead of the idle rich—you must control your vote.

The REVIEW tells you how.

Fifty Thousand readers of the REVIEW are working to wake the workers up—let us hear from you.

One reader writes:—"The getting of the Review may cause me to lose my job, but I don't care so long as I get the Review regularly."

Another says:—"Enclosed find \$1.00 for renewal to that grand old guard of the Socialist movement."

Whenever the workers are on strike the Review is on the job. The best writers and the finest pictures make the Review the greatest working class magazine.

10c a Copy 3 Months, 25c \$1.00 a Year

CHARLES H. KERR & COMPANY
118 W. Kinzie Street CHICAGO, ILL.

Are you interested in the success of

THE MASSES

Do you want to help us find our constituency?

You can do it in the best and most direct way by giving us just five minutes of your time

NOW.

Write down the addresses of ten people who may not have seen THE MASSES, and would be interested in it.

Send these names to

The Masses Publishing Co.,
150 Nassau St., New York

We will do the rest!

(But do your part now)

INTERVENTION—WHAT FOR? By Carlo de Fornaro*

FOR two years we have heard "Intervention in Mexico" discussed and demanded in an offhand way by a large part of the press of the United States as well as by many foreign papers. This dangerous idea has been so firmly planted in the minds of a great many Americans by a continuous and insidious agitation that no reasonable and calm argument seems to be able to eradicate it. And it is not always the American who has "interests" in Mexico who is the greatest champion of Intervention. No, the clerk who has never seen Mexico on the map, the smallest business man who has only vaguely heard of the great climate of Mexico City, the frugal housewife who has read of the cheapness of eggs and alligator pears—they all speak in serious tone of going down to "Veereacruz" to teach "them greasers" to stop being cruel to American property. Often it is not quite clear to them whether Chihuahua is a tropical fruit or some kind of a hairless dog.

Ask anyone who has lived in Mexico, who knows the people, their temper, their history—ask him about "the wisdom of intervening," and you will be told to stop talking such mad nonsense.

The word Intervention has become to the Mexicans almost an obsession, their hatred of it a kind of doctrine like the Monroe doctrine. Many innocent people imagine that all the United States need do is to send 25,000 soldiers on a sort of picnic or clam chowder party, like the Cuban affair, and that the Mexicans would hail the "gringo" as the saviour and restorer of their peace. But in reality United States Intervention in Mexico would mean war to the death, a war of destruction and desolation as disastrous to the United States as to Mexico. In the end the country might be occupied by three hundred soldiers—no, by half a million soldiers, but it never would be truly conquered by force of arms. Such a war would entail the destruction of all the millions invested by Americans and foreigners, the useless sacrifice of untold lives; and it would bring no glory and no honor to American arms.

There are 15,000,000 people in Mexico, and out of these 7,000,000 are pure blooded Indians, who are among the most powerful and tireless races in the world. The whole country is mountainous, and in most parts impassable and arid. Millions of men would fight in the deserts and the mountains, they would tear up the railroads and the telegraph lines, they would do battle in their own country to the last ditch against the invader. And when the men were slaughtered the women would fight, just exactly as the men and women of this country would fight against a foreign invader.

Who are the people encouraging and inciting this reckless and boisterous talk of Intervention? Mostly men who have acquired in Mexico great concessions, plantations, who have built railroads, who have sunk money in mines and oil wells—the great absentee landlords who know the country not at all. They simply want to see the United States Army do the dirty work for them, as it was done in Nicaragua when the bankers who had built a railroad sent United States marines to protect it from the incursions of rebels, and the marines ran the railroad for them and almost collected the fares.

And who are the men that will do the fighting and dying, the shooting and killing of these peo-

ple, who will defend their homes and their country with their lives? First, of course, the regular army men, whose business is to fight without asking questions. Then will come the State militiamen, and later the volunteers. Hundreds of thousands of men will have to leave home and join the ranks for years. When the average man realizes that he has been sent to fight a country for the sake of conquering it, and to fight only "guerillas," who will kill him against all the rules of warfare, and will not make peace when they are defeated, but will keep on until the bitter end; when he realizes that instead of glory, medals and honors he is winning only wounds, hunger, fatigue and an unknown and inglorious death, then he will not be so anxious to enlist, or to remain enlisted, no matter how many American millions may be invested in Mexico.

It might be answered that the Americans fought Mexico in 1847 and won, but we must remember that in 1847 Mexico was nearly double the size of what it is now (Texas, New Mexico, Arizona and California were almost as large as the present Mexican territory) and it was very thinly populated and in most places an arid waste. The Americans who went by land to occupy California and Arizona almost died of hunger, thirst and fatigue. Nor did they conquer a Mexican army; they conquered only the desert and a few harmless missionaries. The Northern army which went across the Rio Grande had to stop at Monterey. The other one, which went by way of Vera Cruz, fought almost constantly for a year, costing the United States over one hundred million dollars and the efforts of 90,000 men, of which over 30,000 were killed and wounded, or else deserted, and in the end was glad to make peace in Mexico City through the treachery and incompetence of Santa Anna. It instead of fighting in battle array the Mexicans had followed the Spanish policy of guerilla warfare, the Americans would have had to leave Mexico as Napoleon left Spain, in disgust and dishonor.

The Mexicans of to-day are wiser and more fearful

of the power of the United States, and would not repeat the blunder and treachery of '47. They would follow the policy of Juarez in the sixties, and fight a Fabian war, a war against which an American army could not triumph until it occupied every strategic point, every considerable city in Mexico.

If 25,000 soldiers succeeded in getting to Mexico City, and the Mexican Government should take its headquarters into the mountains and refuse to make terms as long as an American soldier was on Mexican soil—what then? If the Mexican guerillas tore up the railroads from Mexico City to Vera Cruz, cut the telegraph lines, stole the convoys carrying food, and arms, and ammunition for the army of occupation—what then? The whole line from Vera Cruz to Mexico City (with its two railroads) would have to be patrolled by at least 80,000 men, 300 to the mile. Every large city in the interior would have to be occupied by American troops. The whole American navy would have to patrol day and night the entire coast from Matamoros to Yucatan and from Sonora to the Isthmus of Tehauntepec. The cost of this occupation would be about \$2,000,000 a day, 350,000 to 400,000 men would have to stay and fight in Mexico for three, four or more years, thousands of lives would be lost, and the bill would come to about \$2,000,000,000. Besides that, there would be a total loss of American property as well as foreign. The United States would have to foot all these bills, besides paying the pensions for the soldiers who fought in the war. That would make an additional \$2,000,000,000.

Figure to yourself the taxpayers of the United States paying this colossal price. And what for? Because a handful of American investors, a few landowners, mining and railroad stockholders, expect their interests to be protected and yearn to get hold of seven hundred thousand miles of rich mining and agricultural land, besides trying to ward off the great Socialist peril.

From all this waste of money, time, lives, and property, will the American farmer, the workingman, the daily wage slave, receive any benefit? Will the millions of women who earn from \$4 to \$10 a week be the richer for this tremendous outlay of money and energies? No. A war of conquest in Mexico would be an international disaster and would keep the United States back for at least fifty years, as Germany was kept back after her thirty years' war a hundred years, in the onward course of civilization.

It is foolish and criminal to prate about intervening in a country which would consider intervention a declaration of war—a country filled with fifteen millions of people, of which seven millions are pure Indians, who hate every foreigner and particularly the American foreigner—a country which has, unlike Cuba, a stormy past, and which won its independence without foreign intervention—a country with a great history that goes back a hundred years—a country which considers the very talk of intervention a high insult to its dignity and pride.

The wisest thing for us to do is to stop discussing a thing which cannot and will not be done, unless the men at the head of this nation have gone completely mad. We had better gird our loins for a task that will keep us very busy right here for the next twenty years.



UNITED STATES INTERVENTION IN MEXICO.

*Carlo de Fornaro formerly lived in Mexico. He will be known to our readers as the man who first exposed to the American public the brutality and despotism of the regime of Porfirio Diaz. To the existence of his book is perhaps largely due the fact that the United States did not intervene in the Madero revolution.



Drawn by Ryan Walker.

**GUARDIAN
OF THE
LOOT**

("It's a gentleman's job, and
it pays well"—*W. J. Burns*)

THE MASSES

VOL. IV. NO. VII.

APRIL, 1913

ISSUE NUMBER 23

Max Eastman, Editor

KNOWLEDGE AND REVOLUTION

Max Eastman

SCIENTIFIC IDEALISM

KARL MARX is the only idealist who ever took the science of economics seriously. That science is based upon the assumption that in the big average every man acts in the economic interest of himself and his family. Starting from this assumption, the economist makes a successful analysis of the process of producing and consuming wealth. And the success of his analysis proves the truth of his assumption—proves that in the big average men do act in the economic interest of themselves and their families.

And this being true, then the only way you can effect a substantial change in the production and consumption of wealth is by lining up the people whose economic interests go in the direction of that change. When you get them lined up, you will find the people whose economic interests go in the other direction lined up against them, and you will have a Class Struggle. If your side wins you will have a Revolution, and go down to glory as the defenders of human liberty. But until your side wins, you will be damned by those who put their faith in civic righteousness as Bruno and Galileo were damned by the Church. They will call you materialistic, incendiary, enemies of Christianity and democratic brotherhood. As long as the power remains in their hands they will suppress you, they will bring charges against you, they will throw you into state prisons in the name of "Social Consciousness." But remember this—you suffer, as suffered the martyrs of astronomy and physics, for your faith in true knowledge. Your eyes are unveiled of the delusions of ethics as theirs were unveiled of the delusions of theology. You know the truth, which is naked to every mind that will look deeply into the science of economics. Either we will have a struggle and a triumph of those whose personal interest lies in a just distribution of wealth, or we will never have a just distribution of wealth. Either we will see a Social Revolution, or we will never see liberty and equality established on the earth.

REFORM STRIKES

MR. ROOSEVELT (of the State Capitalist Welfare Workers' Party) came down (observe that word *down*) and looked into the conditions of some of the strikers in New York City last month. He said they were bad. He said that while picketing was good for a girl's health, it was hard on her shoes. This interest in, and comprehension of, the problem of life to the worker produced a real anxiety among the employers and helped the strikers to win a portion of their demands.

Drawing by John Sloan

I mention it because it is symbolic. It means that Progressivism (which is another name, I think, for Benevolent Efficiency) is going to meet us on the field of industrial warfare, as well as on the field of politics. The Progressive Party has seen the political value of industrial action. It has organized a committee on labor questions, and is going in for softening and smoothing up the conflict between labor and capital, not only with the general balm of labor legislation, but with the specific application of arbitration tactics in strikes.

The true attitude of the Socialist to the Progressive Movement in politics was summed up in these words by Keir Hardie:

"Take all the social reform you can get, but don't vote for social reform. Your concern is not with reform but with revolution."

And now exactly the same thing must be said of the Progressive Movement in the field of industry:

Take all the help you can get out of the "Social Consciousness" people, but don't confuse their activities with the labor movement. Submit to an arbitration board, submit to a "protocol" settlement, *if that is the best you can do*, but do not fool yourself into thinking that this kind of an arrangement is *ever* an essential victory against capital or a fundamental step in the direction of human justice.

An Arbitration Board, a Grievance Committee, an Industrial Commission, or any other kind of a court of adjudication between labor and capital that professes to represent Society as a Whole, will represent the interests of capital predominantly every time. Why? Because the capitalistic habit of thought prevails throughout society, and the very concept of justice in the mind of a supposedly impartial judge is a capitalistic concept. It includes the right of a man who has a little property to live on the product of other men's work so long as he pays them what is called "a living wage."

The third party in these settlement committees, the "representative of the public," who holds the balance of power, is one of the chief bulwarks of capitalism. He is usually a professional man, an exceedingly good person, and his very integrity, his individual idealism, and his disinterestedness in the given dispute, make his support of the prevailing standard of justice almost invincible. No substantial assault upon the profits of capital can be made with that man standing in the

road. He represents not merely capitalism, but capitalism idealized, capitalism hallowed by its religion. And to overthrow him you will have to do more than organize trade unions; you will have to do more than perfect a political machine; you will have to build up and popularize a new idealism and a new religion. You will have to become a little fanatical about this distinction between the Reform Motive and the Revolutionary Motive—the one leading toward a state of ignominious content under a more or less established system of caste, the other leading toward a democratic and free society.

The labor people in Chicago call Roosevelt and Jane Addams "The Gold Dust Twins—let them do your work." That is the right name for them. I wish we could make the expression general, for it sounds with humor the keynote to the whole situation. What labor wins through the intercession of capitalist idealism is no true step in the conquest of power. But what labor wins with its own force against capital and capitalistic justice, no matter if it be the tiniest concession in wages or hours of labor, is a genuine advance in the social revolution.

Let the Gold Dust Twins do all the work they will. But remember that they will never do the work of liberating the workers of the world. That will never be done unless the workers of the world do it.

DEMOCRACY?

IT can not but appear to an outside observer that if the rank and file of the Shirt Waist Makers and Garment Workers in New York possessed the head and the heart to vote a strike, they were equally well equipped to vote a settlement of the strike.

GETTES AND GISTS

THE Suffragettes in London have been known to collect \$40,000 out of one crowd towards a fighting campaign. Over here it is about all you can do to collect forty cents towards a polite series of parlor meetings. What is the matter with the land of the free?

Most of the women in this country would rather be Daughters of an old Revolution than Mothers of a new one. They seem to lack the maternal instinct.

The truth is all the middle-class people in this country are a good deal more worried about their respectability than they are in England. They are al-



DAMAGED MAIL

"BY JOVE, THESE BLOODY SUFFRAGETTES ARE NOTHING BUT COMMON CRIMINALS! CAN YOU READ THAT, PERCY?"

ways afraid that if they do something, or say something, a little bit out of the way they will lose what they call their position. That is the sad thing about a place where the forms of democracy, without its substance, exist by law. We have actually less liberty of individual action and expression here than they have in those countries where there is an acknowledged system of caste, and everybody's position, be it high or low, is what it is, regardless of anything he may do or say.

That is one reason, at least, why we do not see a militant movement here. Another is that there is really nobody to militate against. There is a radical difference in the average male on this side of the ocean. In the first place he constitutes about half of the population. He does not face a majority of some 2,000,000 women, as they do in England. In the second place, he lacks the social sanction for so high a quantity of contemptuous superiority as the British male allows himself. The position of American women is different at the start. In the third place there appears to be more facility of spirit, a little less pig-headedness, in our stock than there is in the pure Anglo-Saxon. By the grace of God we are mongrels.

It looks to me as though a hammer concealed in a bag is a good symbol of the type of argument that will really get through the shell of a true British gentleman when he has made up his mind, and produce an effect on his opinions. I do not want to justify the militant movement on any such ground. I do not want to justify it at all, for it needs no justification. Only I would like to point out to some of our horrified sisters and brothers that we were fairly well united on militant tactics ourselves the last time

we were up against a British Cabinet.

It would be foolish to ask the Suffragists of this country to throw up their hats and hurrah for the Suffragettes. They face a different problem—upon its political side, a purely diplomatic problem, the problem of utilizing the votes of women in the nine Suffrage States and the ten or fifteen that will soon be Suffrage States, in order to extend the principle throughout the country.

It will surely come home to the men of the Eastern States in a little while that they are being governed in national affairs to a considerable extent by women of the West; and while a man may hate awfully to be governed by his own wife, he would rather do that, I should think, than be governed by a few million other peoples' wives in a far distant country. That is how I should feel about it. And so I regard the Suffrage problem in this country as essentially not a martial problem, but a problem of how to make success succeed a little faster than it ordinarily does.

With this task on their own hands, perhaps we can not expect the Suffragists in America to go out of their way to applaud the English militants upon the public platform. But they need never apologize for them. It is time someone gave something back to the self-righteous preachers of conventional morality, who denounce these martyrs for "petulance" and "unlady-like conduct."

Are you burning up with indignation that such things as window-smashing, and stone-throwing, and the destruction of the mail can happen at the hands of civilized women? Then direct the flames of your passion against those smug and respectable tyrants of political power who have driven women to these acts

in a fight for what belongs to them, both now and eternally. If a sense of the sacredness of property has not corrupted in your heart every vestige of the love of justice, look at this struggle as it is. See it as posterity will see it—upon one side the colossal resistance of ignorance, and egotism, and fraud, to the aspiration of the human spirit; upon the other side, that spirit in the act of supreme self-sacrifice—the renunciation of social respect, of dignity, of property, of health, and even of life, by the best women of the land in the highest cause they can set before them.

A LEADER

EMMELINE PANKHURST is under indictment for criminal conspiracy. She dictated the day and place of her trial to the government of England by the threat of a hunger strike. Her health is already injured by long terms of forcible feeding, but the power of her will is indomitable and the members of the government are afraid. As a living rebel Mrs. Pankhurst is about all they can handle; as a dying martyr she would set fire to the country. They may well be afraid.

Whether she lives or dies, she will stand in history among the heroes of human liberty. For to her more than to any other individual is attributable the sudden and worldwide awakening of women in these last years. She is not the originator, but she is the most compelling hero of one of those great changes that distinguish the centuries. And it will not be long after her political victory, or after her death, before the effects will be traced home to their cause and she will be popularly invested in the glory that is her own.

THE MASSES BIBLE CLASS: No. I. The Prophet Jonah

EUGENE WOOD

OVER in the old country, so I hear, there is a kind of wine they call "Drei Maenner Wein," "Three Man Wine," so named because it takes one man to drink it, one man to pour it down him, and a third to hold him while you pour.

Those who have attained, O gentle reader, the patriarchal age of you and me, can remember that in our tender youth when the daily question of our female guardian was not "Do you love me?" but "Did you wash your neck?" the Bible was seemingly regarded as a "Three Man Wine." Or perhaps rather as a sort of Champion Blood Purifier that we had to take, not only in the springtime, but at all seasons, daily and Sundays, and in between times. No one suspected then, and few do now, that it is a vintage of rare flavor, worthy to be sipped delicately for the pure delight of it.

In this disillusioned age, as a natural consequence of trying to find out what enough is by first finding out what too much is, nobody goes near a Bible if he can help it. A great pity! Really a great pity. The Bible has a bad name. And the particular book of all that ancient collection (if one may call that "book" which is barely enough to make a leaflet) that has the worst name is Jonah. The Book of Jonah is worse than shunned; it is laughed at. And those who keep a straight face, do so not because they want to, but because they have to.

To the mud-headed moderns, Jonah is a joke. Not a whole wheeze, either; half a hoodoo. For, running away from the unpleasant duty of soap-boxing to a smugly contented city, he goes on a sea voyage. A storm blows up, and the only way to save the ship is to throw Jonah out of it into the wet.

But what should end the hoodoo only begins the wheeze. A great fish is prepared to swallow him alive and keep him on its stomach for three whole days and nights. At this point Reckless Imagination, half expecting to be struck by lightning, starts in to have fun. It giggles as it pictures Jonah sloshing around among moss-bunkers, kelp, and whatever else of sea-food the whale chose to douse him with. It slaps its leg and fancies the gastric juices stinging the raw spots on the prophet's shins where the rough sailors scraped them on the ship's bulwarks. It snorts the while it pictures him complaining of the ventilation, and mimics him flapping a limp hand for fan, and gasping, "So hot and stuffy in here!"

Nothing is quite so comical as the unfatal discomfort of another. And those three days and nights without a wink of sleep must have been very uncomfortable, not only for Jonah but the whale, too. One dramatizes the poor creature saying to a friend, "I've such a distressed feeling right in here," waving an indicating flipper. "Kind of a lump like. Something I've eaten disagrees with me. I cannot understand it. And I've always been so well." Along toward the end of that three days and nights, oh, dear! Oh, dear! And no one to give it mustard and warm water, without even a finger to rummage around among its tonsils. And then . . .

Reckless Imagination puts into Jonah's mouth a bon mot, as he splashes ashore, rinsing the chowder off and waving a farewell to the whale: "You can't keep a good man down!"

Oh, funny, funny, very funny!

But don't stop. That isn't all of it. There's more story yet. That's only half. You that have such comic powers, let's see you make us laugh with what

remains, with what is the real nub of this small tract, this parable. Make us laugh with your depiction of the hollow-eyed and hoarse-voiced prophet warning wicked Nineveh that within three days its wickedness would bring upon it utter destruction. Come on, now! Make us laugh with your depiction of the king humbly descending from his throne to pray, the proud nobles abasing themselves in ashes, the whole citizenry fasting in hopes that God would repent Him of the threatened evil. Make us laugh, you!

And God saw their works, that they turned from their evil ways; and God repented of the evil that He had said that He would do unto them and He did it not. But it displeased Jonah exceedingly, and he was very angry.

"I just knew that would be the way of it," he jaws. "That's You all over! Gracious and merciful, slow to anger, and of great kindness, and all like that. I wish I was dead."

"Do you think it's pretty of you to get angry?" asked the Wise One Over All.

But Jonah was so vexed, because the calamity that he had promised wasn't going to happen, that he wouldn't answer. He went out of town and sat around. He put up a shack. The Lord made a gourd grow up in a single night so that its shade might be a comfort to the pouty prophet. Jonah was glad. Then the next night the Lord had a worm gnaw the gourd vine so that it withered. He also set a gale to blowing that carried away the shack. And the sun was so hot that Jonah like to have fainted. And wasn't he angry then!

"Do you think it pretty of you to be so mad about the gourd?" asked the Wise One Over All.

"Yes, I do."

"Listen. You had pity on the gourd for whose being you weren't responsible at all. You didn't either make it or make it grow. It came up in a night; it went down in a night. And shouldn't I spare Nineveh, that great city wherein are more than six-score thousand persons that cannot distinguish between their right hand and their left hand, and also much cattle?"

What did Jonah say to that?

What could he say?

What can anybody say?

Jonathan Edwards, have you anything to say? You that popped a spider into the candle-flame, a wonderful creature if you did but know it, and told the people that God would pop the sinner into endless flame with the same relish?

All you hell-roarers, you that think that man was made for the Sabbath and not the other way around; all you that lay burdens on mankind grievous to be borne—fasts and holy days, kosher housekeeping, and fish on Friday, reading the Bible and getting converted—you that dangle mankind over Tophet, what have you to say to that?

I wonder how the reverend clergy ever let that leaflet get by them into the sacred canon. I wonder how— But you read it for yourself. Look it up. But do not hunt for Jonah among the J's. Nothing "jay" about Jonah.

Oh, yes, Jonah is a joke. But who is the joke on? That's the question.



Drawn by Maurice Becker.

"ARE YOU GOING TO RENT THE SAME STUDIO THIS WINTER?"

"WHY, NO, I'M AFRAID I CAN'T GET IT. THE LANDLADY ACCUSED ME OF STEALING A DOZEN TOWELS LAST YEAR."

"HOW MANY DID YOU TAKE?"



Our Private Sleuth

ART YOUNG has succeeded in securing for THE MASSES the co-operation of this expert private detective. His task will be to aid us in our work of ferreting out the undesirables in the Socialist party. We ask our readers to join with us in this great mission, and help us make effective the efforts of this gentleman, who has a genius for his line of work that might be described as almost canine. With a little financial support, we shall be able to locate a dictagraph in the home of every prominent Socialist in the country, and we can assure our readers of a general clean-up within the next three months.

Do you suspect your comrade? Don't trust him for a moment. Don't risk the great cause! Send your suspicions with whatever clue you can furnish to the *Dictagraph Editor*, Masses Publishing Company, 150 Nassau Street, New York. Send contributions toward the Dictagraph Fund to the same address.

Up to the hour of going to press our detective's report is as follows:

Comrade Joseph Weepowski of local Picketown was seen passing money to a capitalist in broad daylight on January 3, 1913. His resignation has been accepted.

Comrade Edgar Must of local Balls Bridge has proven a traitor to the cause. He said that Karl Marx was an opportunist, and that his whiskers were indefensible upon any ground. He is charged with aiding and abetting the Progressive Party, and has been asked to resign at once.

Comrade Cheesewright of local Hogg's Corners, a member of the Hired Man's Union, is charged with feeding garlic to his employer's cattle during a milk strike. Under Article III, Section 6, he is expelled from the party for Sabotage, Crime, and Violence.

Comrade Sophia Soapbox of Branch 23, Local Pittsburgh, has been placed on trial for calling comrade Spoutface a liar and an idealist. She will be suspended for a period of five months, pending investigation.

Comrade Graball of the Doorknobs' Union was seen at a meeting of the Door-Jammers and was caught talking to a member of the Brotherhood of Gatehangers. This taken in connection with the fact that his wife is a sister of the janitor for the Amalgamated Panellers, is regarded by our detective as proof of the fact that he has Industrial Union tendencies and is probably meditating the general strike.

Comrade Hornblower, member of the Assembly from local Tombstone, stated in a meeting of the judiciary committee that there ought to be a law against feeding strychnine to babies under two years of age. He will be recalled and dismissed from the local for this evidence of rank compromise with bourgeois reform sentiment.

Comrade Perkins of local Sykes Hollow was caught in swimming without a union label. Charges have been preferred against him.

A TASTE OF JUSTICE

John Reed

AS soon as the dark sets in, young girls begin to pass that Corner—squat-figured, hard-faced "cheap" girls, like dusty little birds wrapped too tightly in their feathers. They come up Irving Place from Fourteenth Street, turn back toward Union Square on Sixteenth, stroll down Fifteenth (passing the Corner again) to Third Avenue, and so around—always drawn back to the Corner. By some mysterious magnetism, the Corner of Fifteenth Street and Irving Place fascinates them. Perhaps that particular spot means Adventure, or Fortune, or even Love. How did it come to have such significance? The men know that this is so; at night each shadow in the vicinity contains its derby hat, and a few bold spirits even stand in the full glare of the arc-light. Brushing against them, luring with their swaying hips, whispering from immovable lips the shocking little intimacies that Business has borrowed from Love, the girls pass.

The place has its inevitable Cop. He follows the same general beat as the girls do, but at a slower, more majestic pace. It is his job to pretend that no such thing exists. This he does by keeping the girls perpetually walking—to create the illusion that they're going somewhere. Society allows vice no rest. If women stood still, what would become of us all? When the Cop appears on the Corner, the women who are lingering there scatter like a shoal of fish; and until he moves on, they wait in the dark side streets. Suppose he caught one? "The Island for her's! That's the place they cut off girls' hair!" But the policeman is a good sport. He employs no treachery, *simply stands a moment*, proudly twirling his club, and then moves down toward Fourteenth Street. It gives him an immense satisfaction to see the girls scatter.

His broad back retreats in the gloom, and the girls return—crossing and recrossing, passing and repassing with tireless feet.

Standing on that Corner, watching the little comedy, my ears were full of low whisperings and the soft scuff of their feet. They cursed at me, or geyed me, according to whether or not they had had any dinner. And then came the Cop.

His ponderous shoulders came rolling out of the gloom of Fifteenth Street, with the satisfied arrogance of an absolute monarch. Soundlessly the girls vanished, and the Corner contained but three living things: the hissing arc-light, the Cop, and myself.

He stood for a moment, juggling his club, and peering sullenly around. He seemed discontented about something; perhaps his conscience was troubling him. Then his eye fell on me, and he frowned. "Move on!" he ordered, with an imperial jerk of the head.

"Why?" I asked.

"Never mind why. Because I say so. Come on now." He moved slowly in my direction.

"I'm doing nothing," said I. "I know of no law that prevents a citizen from standing on the corner, so long as he doesn't hold up traffic."

"Chop it!" rumbled the Cop, waving his club suggestively at me, "Now git along, or I'll fan ye!"

I perceived a middle-aged man hurrying along with a bundle under his arm.

"Hold on," I said; and then to the stranger, "I beg

your pardon, but would you mind witnessing this business?"

"Sure," he remarked cheerfully. "What's the row?"

"I was standing inoffensively on this corner, when this officer ordered me to move on. I don't see why I should move on. He says he'll beat me with his club if I don't. Now, I want you to witness that I am making no resistance. If I've been doing anything wrong, I demand that I be arrested and taken to the Night Court." The Cop removed his helmet and scratched his head dubiously.

"That sounds reasonable." The stranger grinned. "Want my name?"

But the Cop saw the grin. "Come on then," he growled, taking me roughly by the arm. The stranger bade us good-night and departed, still grinning. The Cop and I went up Fifteenth Street, neither of us saying anything. I could see that he was troubled and considered letting me go. But he gritted his teeth and stubbornly proceeded.

We entered the dingy respectability of the Night Court, passed through a side corridor, and came to the door that gives onto the railed space where criminals stand before the Bench. The door was open, and I could see beyond the bar a thin scattering of people of the benches—sightseers, the morbidly curious, an old Jewess with a brown wig, waiting, waiting, with her eyes fixed upon the door through which prisoners appear. There was the usual few lights high in the lofty ceiling, the ugly, dark panelling of imitation mahogany, that is meant to impress, and only succeeds in casting a gloom. It seems that Justice must always shun the light.

There was another prisoner before me, a slight, girlish figure that did not reach the shoulder of the policeman who held her arm. Her skirt was wrinkled and indiscriminate, and hung too closely about her hips; her shoes were cracked and too large; an enormous limp willow plume topped her off. The Judge lifted a black-robed arm—I could not hear what he said.

"Soliciting," said the hoarse voice of the policeman, "Sixth Av'nue near Twenty-third—"

"Ten days on the Island—next case!"

The girl threw back her head and laughed insolently.

"You —" she shrieked, and laughed again. But the Cop thrust her violently before him, and they passed out at the other door.

And I went forward with her laughter still sounding in my ears.

The Judge was writing something on a piece of paper. Without looking up he snapped:

"What's the charge, officer?"

"Resisting an officer," said the Cop surlily. "I told him to move on an' he says he wouldn't—"

"Hum," murmured the Judge abstractedly, still writing. "Wouldn't, eh? Well, what have you got to say for yourself?"

I did not answer.

"Won't talk, eh? Well, I guess you get—"

Then he looked up, nodded, and smiled.

"Hello, Reed!" he said. He venomously regarded the Cop. "Next time you pull a friend of mine—" suggestively, he left the threat unfinished. Then to me, "Want to sit up on the Bench for a while?"

T H E P R O P H E T



Drawn by H. J. Turner.

HORATIO WINSLOW.

“THIS way! This way! This way!” boomed B. with all the force of his deep bass oratorical voice. “This way to the great Bargain Sale!”

“Stop a moment,” piped A. in his uncertain tones, “that fellow wants to sell you for three dollars, shoes that he says are worth six dollars. Yet those very shoe you yourselves produced, from the leather on the hoof to the tip of the second shoestring, and you let B. have it for barely one dollar.”

“This way!” continued B., shouting more lustily than ever. “You’ll have to hurry if you want to get yours before the sale ends.”

In the rush poor little A. was trampled into the mud. But after the shoes were worn out and the crowd had drifted back again, they found A. protesting in the same old place, only now his voice seemed to have grown much stronger.

“Time’s coming,” said a bystander, “when we’ll be hearing him clearer than the other fellow.”

Legal Matters

“HOW does it happen,” said the Lean Workman, “that though I obey the laws I go about most of the time half-clothed and half-fed?”

“That,” said the Puffy-Faced Person, “is because of the Inevitable Law of Supply and Demand.”

“But the Supply which I produce is greater than the Demand which I make on the Supply.”

“Alas,” said the Puffy Face, “you know nothing of the Laws of Economics.”

“Perhaps some of the Laws of Economics will be repealed,” said the Lean Workman softly, “for if you have observed, you will notice that I am beginning to understand the Laws of Stomach and Fist.”

Odd Avocations of Well-Known Sportsmen

THE noted Golf Player, John D. Rockefeller, spends his hours off the links in increasing his collection of oil-stock shares. Mr. Rockefeller’s collection even now is said to be the largest in the world and its owner is continually adding new and valuable specimens to the number.

When Vincent Astor, the Motorist, has a spare moment or two he spends it listening to reports from his rent collectors. He says that this diversion is not only stimulating but profitable as well, and that it braces him for the day’s pleasure.

An odd hobby is that of the celebrated Yachtsman

J. P. Morgan. He has spent much of his stray time in the study of banking and is reported to be very proficient in this novel pastime.

Rumor goes that Alfred Vanderbilt, the much-talked-of Horseman, has acquired great skill in dividend drawing. “It is a little fad of my own,” he explains to his friends. He says that after tooling a four-in-hand all day nothing better restores evenness to the temper than a few light exercises with dividends.

Public Spirit

AMONG those warmly in favor of the Back to the Land Movement are: Messrs. Gettit and Runn, the well-known Real Estate Agents; George Skinnem and Sons, the prominent Commission Merchants; O. U. Kidder, owner of the country’s greatest Agricultural Paper and Mr. G. Brick, the famous Confidence Man.

When asked if he were really sincere, Mr. Brick replied that he was heart and soul with the Cause. “A man in the city never gets hold of no roll,” explained Mr. Brick. “His wages is et up before he gets ’em. He may not git any more in the country, but he gets it all at onct—and O, you Big Fat Wallet!”

Efficiency

THE Worker has just pointed out a possible improvement in the machine he operates.

“And what is its advantage?” asks the benevolent Employer.

“Why, with that little change, sir, one man can do the work that two of us have been doing.”

“Ah,” says the Employer pleasantly, after making a careful diagram of the idea, “very good. And since I should have a strong objection to pauperizing you by the payment of royalties, I think the first valuable service of your improvement will be to enable us to get along without you. George, give this man his time.”

Process Serving in 1920

WITH the rest of the over-independent portion of the earth-folk the deputies had been removed to the Moon. From that satellite they were signalling the planet.

“Remember,” they wirelessed to the current Rockefeller, “even if we can’t go down and trespass on

your earth—the first time you set foot on lunar soil we’ll serve these here subpoenas on you.”

“Quite so,” murmured the current Rockefeller, opening his Bible for the daily chapter.

Polonius

IT never has paid to adulterate Tea so it’s never been done;

The profit has ceased, in remarking, “The Public be Damned!”—so we’ve quit;

And in time very like it will pay to have Peace: in that day War will end.

But keep all these truths to yourself; it is best that the Boobs

Continue to worship the Wisdom and large Loving Kindness of Us.

Cure-All

MILLIONAIRE-PHILANTHROPY is Humanity’s Greatest Patent Medicine.

It announces its worth with such a blare of trumpets that we hasten to accept it as the only genuine Balm still extant in Gilead. Meditation on its certified good qualities calms our ruffled pin-feathers till they lie as smooth as the Sea of Galilee. We recommend it to all our neighbors as the one bright spot in these Hard Times; it never cured us, but oh! read the testimonials and see how much it has benefitted others.

With sweat and treasure do we maintain the factory that turns out this miraculous compound, and with hard words and bad eggs do we discourage those analytical social chemists who say our Favorite Prescription is nothing but Bunk, Dope and Rosewater.

Socialism Spiritual

WHENEVER would a kitten learn to purr if it had to unsheath its claws over every scrap of meat, every drop of milk, every moment of fire-warmth?

And why should we expect to have souls in our bodies till our hearths are built with the labor of free men and our cellars are stocked with unstolen goods?

On With the Revolution

ITALY’S stockbrokers have gone on strike. When it happens in this country it will be a lockout.



Drawn by George Bellows.

The Business Men

uperior Brains "



usiness Men's Class

The Sick List

CHARLES R. HEIKE, Secretary of the American Sugar Refining Company, who was sentenced to eight years in prison and the payment of a fine, had his sentence commuted to the payment of the fine and costs because he is ill at his home.—*News Item.*

Readers will remember that Charles W. Morse was pardoned from the penitentiary by the President because of his illness; and that William Rockefeller was excused from testifying before the Pujo Committee for the same reason.

This shows a gratifying increase in humanity on the part of the courts.

We understand that Bill Sykes, sentenced to ten days in the work-house for vagrancy, was also pardoned yesterday because of a severe headache.

John Doe, convicted of attempted manslaughter and sentenced to five years in Sing Sing, was to-day released because of a black eye administered by his victim.

Mary Jones, convicted of soliciting on the streets, and sentenced to the Magdalen Home, had her sentence commuted to the payment of 25 cents fine because she complained of palpitation of the heart.

The Danger Line

A WEALTHY typewriter manufacturer died recently leaving a large estate and a reputation for eccentricity. Three times in his life he was tested for sanity, it being shown that he gave 520 shares of stock to his employees and scattered ten thousand pennies among the children. Pennies have been scattered among children by perfectly sane people, but to allow at large a man who gave to some workingmen what was already theirs—this was a menace to the well-being of society.

A little luxury like Benevolence can be allowed to our aristocracy, but when they begin to indulge in a dangerous recreation like Justice, it is time the law stepped in and restrained them.

A Wayward Child


THE condition of working men and working women," says Miss Margaret Wilson, "should be improved whenever that is possible."

In the interest of fair play, we maintain that a President who has to reduce the tariff, restore competition, and resuscitate the doctrine of States' Rights all inside of four years, should not be held accountable for the revolutionary sentiments of members of his family.

Prayer At The End Of The Day's Work

I believe in Life: in the honor of being born; in the glory of dying.
 I believe in the Work of Life: the everlasting Struggle to Know,
 with its continual Victories and its unending Defeat.
 I believe in the Joy of Life, believe that some day it will be more
 than bitter foam and dregs.
 And I believe in the Substance of Life—in Man—in the Ape that
 Was—in the Gods to Be. Amen.

HORATIO WINSLOW.



Drawn by John Sloan.

A SLIGHT ATTACK OF THIRD DIMENTIA BROUGHT ON BY EXCESSIVE STUDY OF THE MUCH-TALKED OF CUBIST PICTURES IN THE INTERNATIONAL EXHIBITION AT NEW YORK.

Health Hints

"ALWAYS get up from the table a little hungry," says John D. Rockefeller.—*News Item.*

When seen at their homes late last night by a reporter from THE MASSES, other prominent citizens contributed the following supplementary advice:

"In winter always wear less clothing than will suffice to keep you warm."—WILLIAM WOOD, President of the American Woollen Co.

"A half-hour's strap-hanging on the Subway immediately before dinner aids digestion."—THEODORE SHONTS, President of the Interborough.

"An application of the night-stick to a girl striker's head will produce a healthy glow."—RHINELANDER WALDO, Police Commissioner.

A KING FOR A QUEEN

Lincoln Steffens

STAHL'S mind was floating happily, mine was drowning in the cheerful tumult of the crowded café, when Sturmberg broke in upon the scene. Backed by his Egyptian of a wife and their seven clamorous young children, his entrance came like a bang, like the crash of the doors he threw open before him. The East Siders did not seem to notice. They were too busy making the scene, and the sounds and the cheerfulness, to pay heed at first to the popular intruder, though he and his wife and that string of aggressive children penetrated the crowd of them as a searchlight plunges into a fog; with difficulty, by main force. Even those he elbowed or leaned upon, even those he bent aside with his great thighs, were disturbed only as sleepers are by the trouble of a dream. They were too-absorbed in the absorbing Russian sport of matching ideas to give Sturmberg more than one quick glance each of harmless hate. And he did not take in their glances.

Sturmberg's wife did. She collected all those glances. She sought them, and when she got one she shot it back with usury; as she could. Her very definite features had been made in Egypt; ages ago, no doubt, but generations of Russia had not softened a line of them and centuries of oppression had not quelled the spirit of the ancient queen who, I imagine, lived again in this woman of the people. She still despised the people; and—I wondered—her husband, too?

You couldn't be sure. She gave him also a look of noble scorn when he reached the centre of the room, and with barely standing room for himself looked about for a place for his family of nine. She laughed at him outright. But he seemed not to notice her, nor was he balked by the situation, not for a moment.

"I will a table," he said; just that way; part German, part English, and you felt, as his children plainly felt, that a table must approach. They looked in all directions to see if one were not forthcoming. So did I; so did Stahl. The wife, on the other hand, had no expectations, and when no table sprang toward him she smiled, contemptuously. Sturmberg didn't falter.

"I say I will a table," he repeated.

There was no table. I saw that; the host said so; and the waiters, spreading their arms and wheeling all about, pointed it out. And the crowd, aware at last, and interested, murmured audibly that there was neither a table nor a place for one.

Sturmberg's children were dumbfounded. They looked up at him, with almost a doubt in their faith. And his wife, eyeing him most unsympathetically, smiled derisively. It made no difference to him.

"No table!" he exclaimed. "You say there is no table? Then," he commanded superbly, "let a table be brought. And," he added, pointing down at the spot where he stood, "put it here." Apparently he saw that there was no room there, for he insisted: "Here; right here."

"I don't like it," said Stahl, and, Socialist as he is, and an East Sider, he explained: "Just like a capitalist."

"Or a leader of the people," I rejoined, for the fun of it.

They object to leaders, the Socialists do; especially leading Socialists like Stahl. They have a theory of

democracy and they have a ready-made diagnosis of the ills of democracy. Since the people are betrayed by their leaders, they leap to the conclusion that leadership is bad and that the people must get along without leaders.

Sturmberg was a leader. He had been a labor leader in the days when the East Side worker was just beginning to organize. They followed orators then, men who could express their feelings; their rage, their hopes, their will. Sturmberg did that. He was one of the most effective popular orators I ever heard, and he had been a power over there till the labor unions were organized and had to deal with the employers' unions. Then the "business agent" came into power, the "practical" man who introduced business methods into the management of the organization: compromise, control, contracts and—and other things.

Sturmberg went down and out. Emotional, passionate, wilful, this big, strong leader of the people was an honest man. And he was faithful.

"What's he doing now?" I asked Stahl, who answered harshly, with one word.

"Law," he said, without looking around.

Stahl was watching Sturmberg, who stood there quietly, immovable; and the host and the waiters were dragging forth a table. They worked as if they were driven. Sturmberg and his children kept their eyes on the slaves, and Mrs. Sturmberg kept hers on Sturmberg. It seemed to me she resented his triumph, and yet—

"Does she love him?" asked Stahl, out of the side of his mouth.

The question was so pat a summary of my thinking at the moment, that I exclaimed: "What!"

"Is that a happy marriage?" Stahl repeated, glancing round at me, half-amused, half in earnest. And without waiting for my answer, he went on:

"I saw the beginning of it. I was with Sturmberg when he met,—when he claimed,—when he took her."

And he turned back to the place where Sturmberg was letting all the waiters make a place for him. Three of them were bearing a table toward him, and the host and the others were moving the surrounding tables, and all their guests, to make room. Everybody in the café was intent now on the manœuvring. It was most inconveniencing.

"Sturmberg was at the height of his power," Stahl continued. "The great strike was on, and the workers were signing the rolls. Reporters were everywhere. The papers were full of it. You were there. You saw it. I was a secretary, close to Sturmberg. There was work to do. There were meetings, many meetings, and there were more orators than meetings. The pulling and hauling of the politics of a labor fight were—impossible. Sturmberg was fighting all the fights. He was fighting the bosses; he was fighting the scabs; he was fighting his committee; he was fighting—everybody. And winning. You remember his public speeches!"

"They did the work," I assented. "They held his crowd, and they formed public opinion."

"Yes," said Stahl, "and they stirred the police, who were on hand to 'get him.' The bosses couldn't buy Sturmberg, so the police were called in. And they got him in the end, you remember. That's what in-

terested him in the law; the way it was worked against him and—us."

Stahl paused. The place for Sturmberg's table had been cleared. There was a fuss from the discomfited, but Sturmberg had stood there, silently demanding it, and it was done. The table was placed. It was put there where Sturmberg had stood; exactly. And now the waiters were running about for chairs, table cloth, plates, knives and forks.

"Sturmberg was then, as you see him now—insistent," said Stahl, "only he was like those waiters, too: he was excited, busy. And he kept saying: 'Something's the matter with me. I need a friend,' and he would eye us. He felt the disloyalty all about him, the selfishness, the intrigue. One day he looked up suddenly, and said: 'I know. I know what's the matter with me. I need a wife.' And his expression was that of a man who needed something to eat, something to devour. You understand? It was hunger, hunger charged with a certain ferocity. And he jumped up to walk the room; and when he had paced its length a few times, he broke out with a decision. It was just like him.

"I will mich marryin'," he said. I thought he was crazy, and I got up to calm him. But he grabbed his hat. 'I will marry me now,' he said. And he started off, I at his heels.

"He tore through the streets, direct to the place of the Schatchen; you know; the woman that arranges marriages. She lived up one flight, office and home in one apartment. Sturmberg was going to tell her to get him a wife. But when he reached the landing, there was—his wife; that woman you see over there."

And Stahl nodded at the Egyptian. He contemplated her a moment, as she stood there behind her husband, waiting for the table to be made ready. She didn't lift her hand to help; neither did Sturmberg; neither did any child. They simply waited, scornful of labor; scornful of the public opinion which tried to wither them. And proudest of all, and most contemptuous, was Mrs. Sturmberg.

"She was like that, that day," said Stahl. "She was standing at the head of the stairs, with her back to the wall to let us by. I remember that her arms and hands were pressed outstretched back against the wall. I never saw such a woman; such defiance and scorn, but it was all for Sturmberg. She didn't see me. She fixed her eyes on him, and he—he saw her. And when he saw her he stopped, looked, walked off away from her, eyeing her up and down; sizing her up; the hunger still in his face, and the fierceness. All impersonal, all. And when he spoke, it was not to her. No. It was to the Schatchen woman, who sat astonished in her office, taking in the scene. Nor did he look at her. No. He only spoke to the Schatchen. His eyes were on the girl, the tall, black, devilish young woman who gave him only defiance and—it seemed to me—hate, a sort of animal hate. Sturmberg watched her, fascinated; he only spoke to the Schatchen. Lifting his arm he pointed straight at the girl.

"Die will i' haben," he said, gloating. 'Her will I have.' And she? She laughed. And such a laugh! I guess that was the way the cave woman challenged the cave man. It rang out, that laugh,—filled the hall and stairway. It startled me. I'd have run away from

such a creature. But Sturmberg didn't; not he. He leaned forward like a wild beast, eager, hungry, delighted, and he stuck his finger out right into her face. *'Die will ich,'* he cried; and he laughed. And his laugh was like a yell; joy, triumph, conquest, rape. It was anything but love, as I figure it. And then she joined him, laugh for laugh. It was more like—"

Stahl sat up suddenly. "Look," he said, and he nodded at Sturmberg and his wife. I had been looking. Sturmberg was seating his children. Each claimed a chair, and the wife stood by one; she had chosen a place at the opposite side of the table from Sturmberg. He changed every child. He let not one sit where it would.

"Sit there," he said, and each little wild-cat looked up snarling at him, then—obeyed. And he gave the largest boy the seat the mother had put her possessing hand upon. And she obeyed too.

"Now, you," he said to her in German. *'Nun, du, come here,'* and he indicated the chair on his right. The woman hesitated, looked at him hard a moment, defiantly. I was sure she would refuse. But no—slowly, majestically, she—obeyed.

Sturmberg watched her; everybody watched her, and the room was silent. And she knew it, and she didn't care. She passed Sturmberg, more like a noble, untamed slave than a wife, and, while he stood to see to it that she did so, she took her place—beside him.

"It was like that," Stahl whispered. "Just like that, exactly. Now what do you say? Is it a happy marriage?"

"It is most unpleasant," I said, with my eyes on Sturmberg, standing there by his place, looking round his family circle: a tyrant, not a father; a master, not a husband; a lord, no lover.

"How did he manage it?" I asked.

"He didn't," said Stahl. "The Schatchen managed it. Sturmberg turned on his heel from the girl, went to the old woman and said: 'See? I want her. Her. Fix it.' The old woman protested that the girl was not a customer of hers, only a neighbor. But Sturmberg waved all this away. 'Fix it,' he said, and we left. He walked 'way round the girl, who had not moved; who stood there transfixed, fixing him, and passing her with another laugh, he came away with me. Without another look. I glanced back; he didn't. He was done with it. He went to the office, to work. When I looked back she was standing there, looking after him—with that same expression of hunger in her face that he had had in his. She laughed one more wild laugh of defiance at him, but that look was there.—And, at the end of the strike, when Sturmberg got out of jail, he went and he got her, and we all went to the wedding, which was—Look—like that."

Stahl pointed; others were pointing, too, and I looked in time to see Sturmberg bend over his wife, laugh and kiss her—passionately; and laugh again. And she looked up; and she gave him such a look as, I guess, most men get from women only now and then in utmost private. It was a look of blazing, passionate, adoring love.

"Well," said Stahl, quietly, "what about it? Is it a happy marriage?"

IT is reported that Vincent Astor will devote a generous chunk of the swag to the establishment of an Institute of Farming Research. Dwellers in Mr. Astor's extensive New York tenement properties are to be commended for their disinterestedness in contributing to rural betterment instead of selfishly demanding improved houses and reduced rents.

SUNDAY

Louis Untermeyer

It was Sunday—

Eleven in the morning; people were at church;
Prayers were in the making; God was near at hand—
Down the cramped and narrow streets of quiet Lawrence
Came the tramp of workers marching in their hundreds;
Marching in the morning, marching to the grave-yard,
Where, no longer fiery, underneath the grasses,
Callous and uncaring, lay their friend and sister.
In their hands they carried wreaths and drooping flowers,
Overhead their banners dipped and soared like eagles—
Aye, but eagles bleeding—stained with their own heart's blood—
Red, but not for glory—red, with wounds and travail,
Red, the buoyant symbol of the blood of all the world. . . .
So they bore the banners, singing towards the grave-yard,
So they marched and chanted, mingling tears and tributes,
So, with flowers, the dying went to deck the dead.

Within the churches people heard

The sound, and much concern was theirs—
God might not hear the Sacred Word—
God might not hear their prayers!

Should such things be allowed these slaves—

To vex the Sabbath peace with Song,
To come with chants, like marching waves,
That proudly swept along. . . .

Suppose God turned to *these*—and heard!

Suppose He listened unawares—
God might forget the Sacred Word,
God might not hear their prayers!

And so (oh, tragic irony)

The blue-clad Guardians of the Peace
Were sent to sweep them back—to see
The ribald song should cease;

To scatter those who came and vexed

God with their troubled cries and cares.
Quiet—so God might hear the text,
The sleek and unctuous prayers!

Down the rapt and singing streets of little Lawrence
Came the stolid columns; and, behind the bluecoats,
Grinning and invisible, bearing unseen torches,
Rode red hordes of anger, sweeping all before them.
Lust and Evil joined them—Terror rode among them,
Fury fired its pistols, Madness stabbed and yelled. . . .
Down the wild and bleeding streets of shuddering Lawrence
Raged the heedless panic, hour-long and bitter;
Passion tore and trampled men once mild and peaceful,
Fought with savage hatred in the name of Law and Order.
And, below the outcry, like the sea beneath the breakers,
Mingling with the anguish rolled the solemn organ. . . .
Eleven in the morning—people were at church—
Prayers were in the making—God was near at hand—
It was Sunday!



Drawn by Maurice Becker.

SUNDAY



Sketch by Raymond Crawford Ewer.

IN THE TIN-PAN MARKET

Sketches, Literary and Graphic

"HELLO, Bum!" greeted green-toothed Barney, leaning with his two pudgy hands on the safe side of the bar and looking up with bulging, blood-shot, bull-frog eyes.

"Give us a bucket of suds, Barney," ordered the Tin-Pan Market merchant grandly.

"Got any money?" asked Barney professionally, scratching his very small, very hard, head.

For answer the merchant laid five greasy pennies on the bar and Barney served him with a big bumper, identical with the others with which his trained bums juggled at the sloppy bar.

"Dese iss all my bums," said Barney to a tourist who had stopped in to see the sight. "You're a bum for comin' in here. Everybody's a bum at Barney's. Trained, too! Watch dis!"



Drawn by R. C. Ewer.

"FRENZIED FINANCE."

An elderly Jew with a "blue eye" and a crutch shambled in and lapped out a word of one syllable like a thirsty dog.

"Hello, Bum! Got any money?" challenged Barney.

"When I sell de fine coat, yes!" quavered the cripple.

"If you ain't got de price of a drink, drink poison, Bum!" advised Barney, turning to serve prune juice to an ex-detective in a frock coat.

The cripple shuffled toward the door, snatched at a piece of pickled fish on an oily platter. Barney leaped at him, wrapping his thin knuckles with the suds-scraper.

"Get out of here, Bum! Dis ain't no bread line!" he growled.

"Dat's only Barney's way," grinned a toothless loungeur, equivocally, to the tourist.

"Well trained, huh?" Barney threw back his head in bravado and puffed out his thick chest. "All my bums iss well trained." He swept a short arm expressively about the barn-like room packed with all manner of men, smoking, drinking and bickering over cast-offs.

The wall was ranged with padlocked boxes stuffed with second hand apparel.

"I rent dose at a dollar a month to my bums," explained Barney. "Dis is called de Tin-Pan Market because peddlers what trade tin-pans, kettles an' pails for old clothes in Brooklyn, New Joisey, Yonkers, an' such foreign parts, comes here to sell 'em. If de market iss no good dey put der stuff away in de lockers till a better day."

"Is it a paying business?" asked the tourist.

"Oh, sure," grinned Barney, showing his scummy teeth by way of confirmation. "It pays fine. My bums take out two dollars wort' of tin-pans an' dey comes back with maybe twenty dollars' wort' old clothes. When dey make a sale in my place here dey buy a drink. Sure it pays. If dey don't buy a drink, dey're bums, an' I chase 'em. Why shouldn't it pay? I run a nice, noisy place, with whisky fifty per cent. alcohol and prune juice, made fresh every morning. Where iss der a better five-cent whisky in New York, I ask it you? Sure it pays!"

If you give a cast-off suit or hat to a beggar and trouble to follow him for an hour, the chances are

you'll reach the Tin-Pan and be able to watch him hawk your suit from curb to curb, through the throng of buyers and sellers in the middle of Bayard Street. And if you stay to see him make the sale, you can follow him into Barney's and ascertain just how well trained a bum he is.

ROBERT CARLTON BROWN.

An Interview

MR. VELVET PILLOWFEET is perhaps the leading authority on burglary and second-story work in New York. He was seen Thursday in his luxurious apartments in Cul de Sac Place and asked his opinion of the referendum.

"I do not hesitate to say that I am unequivocally opposed to the referendum," said Mr. Pillowfeet in well modulated tones. "I think I can make the matter clear in a few words. As I understand it, the referendum is merely a scheme to give the victims of the country something to say as to how, when and where they should be robbed. This is absurd. What would become of business under such a revolutionary and anarchistic regime? Just suppose that, after having taken all proper precautions to break into a house in the dead of night, I should be compelled by law to wake up the family and consult them as to the quantity and quality of the loot that I should relieve them of. Can you conceive of a more awkward situation? Can't you see that a family under such circumstances would not be amenable to reason? Wouldn't they be certain to overlook the best interests of the country at large?"

"There are no two ways about it. And in saying this I have no personal interest in the matter whatsoever. Tell your readers I am convinced—and I speak as a citizen, not as a burglar—I am convinced that the referendum would be totally destructive of individual initiative and subversive of some of our most sacred institutions. If enacted into law, I venture to predict that many of the most solid burglars of the United States will lose all interest in the welfare of the nation and take up their residence abroad. Burglars, you know, are proverbially timid and will not stand for the reckless regulation which seems to be sweeping over the country."

ELLIS O. JONES.

Blessed Are the Merciful

THE Bankers' Trust Company, of New York, now forbids, under penalty of dismissal and loss of a share in the pension fund, any employee earning less than one hundred dollars to get married. The B. T. C. is right; it's hard to bring up a family in the city on less than \$100 a month. If it sees a brave young man struggling to keep alive and honest with \$75 and a growing family, the merciful corporation says in the words of the old story, "Kick this poor fellow out; he's breaking my heart!"

HOWARD BRUBAKER.

To Continue the Job

THE murderers now "governing" Mexico expect to borrow \$30,000,000 in the United States. It takes some money, as *The Globe* remarks, to run an "assassination bureau."

As Porfirio Diaz was able to borrow ten times this amount for his butcheries, and as Huerta has the active aid of the American Ambassador, the "American colony" of Mexico City (*i. e.*, those in the *Social Register*), of the Oil Interests, the Smaller Interests, the Rubber Interests, and the Coffee and Cattle Interests, he ought to get the money.

W. E. W.

Land of the Free

A TRUE DRAMA IN TWO ACTS.

ACT I.

OFFICER: Hey, move on there, young lady—git right out o' here!

Girl Striker (moving on): I guess this is a free country, aint it?

ACT II.

Judge of the Night Court: What did you say to this woman?

Officer: I says "Move on!" yer honor.

Judge: Well, did she move on?

Officer: Yes, she moves on, but she turns up her nose to me an' she says, "I guess this is a free country, aint it?"

Judge: Well, young lady, the freedom of this country will cost you two dollars.

TOD O'SHEEL.

Last Call

PERSONS desiring justice in Westchester County, New York, are warned to be quick, for the supply is running very low. Because of a succession of crime-waves the county prosecuting funds are depleted and court may have to suspend. As the jail is already full, criminals are urged to abstain from further felonies until the new taxes are collected.

Getting Next to Mr. Armour

IF Mr. Ogden Armour were as careless of his profits as he is of his public statements, the high price of meat would not be so noticeable.

"There is not an office boy in my employ at the present day," he said recently, "who can not rise to my position—well, I will not say my position, but next to me."

The worst thing about that statement is its diametrical antithesis to the truth. There are lots and lots of office boys in his employ who can not reach to a position next to him—if we knew how many office boys he has we could tell him with wonderful exactitude. If he has one hundred office boys, at least ninety-nine of them can never reach a position next to Mr. Armour. If he has a thousand, then nine hundred and ninety-nine are absolutely certain to fall short of that desirable mark, and we should hate to stake much money on the chances of the single remaining individual.

But perhaps it is no matter. It was only an interview after all.

ELLIS O. JONES.

"IT'S a long worm that has no turning."
Yours for the revolution,

NUTTING.



Drawn by John Sloan.

Cattle

"NO, MISS, SHE AINT HOME—BUT I' KIN TELL YOU MY WIFE DON'T NEED NO VOTE."

THE WORLD-WIDE BATTLE LINE

A Word in Season

ROBERT BLATCHFORD—perhaps the most influential Socialist writer who uses the English language—utters some timely remarks for Socialists and democrats in a recent number of *The Clarion*:

"If trade unionists and Socialists want their business properly looked after, they must look after it themselves; they must take an interest in it; they must be alive to the danger of committees of superior persons.

"I do not believe that any person should serve more than one year on any committee, and no person should serve twice.

"All real democrats are suspicious of leaders and leadership.

"Until the people are willing to attend to their own business and to work for their own cause, it is no use kicking out the mandarins, as that will only let a fresh lot of mandarins in."

Will England Catch Up?

WITH the abolition of the power of the House of Lords, a movement at last began to bring the British Government up to the standard of 1848. At least three more steps will have to be taken. First, half a million gentlemen with two or more votes will have to be deprived of this privilege. Second, the 2,500,000 disfranchised workingmen (over a third of the total) will have to get the vote. Third, the cities will have to be given the same representation as the country. Because this has not been done in Germany, we have seen a campaign by the Socialists that has sounded to the ends of the earth. But a trifling anomaly like this last has apparently not disturbed the workers of England. As far as the radical press is concerned the whole of this outrageous situation might appear to have been a dead secret only now disclosed. Yet here are the facts:

Several suburban districts of London, each sending one member to Parliament, represent from 40,000 to 43,000 voters, whereas Bury St. Edmunds, which also sends one member, has less than 3,000 voters and half a dozen other districts have less than 4,000 voters.

In another half century Great Britain may arrive where we stood politically half a century ago.

The War Against War

JUSTICE, of Pittsburg, the second largest Socialist weekly in America, advocates the use of all means to prevent and obstruct war with Mexico—from the General Strike (which is advocated even by the conservative British Socialists) to desertion. First, it proposes the General Strike. Second, that the Socialist soldiers and sailors of the Army and Navy desert and that no more enlist. Third, that if the militia is sent to Mexico, the Socialists desert the militia.

Fourth: "If a draft should be made let the Socialist refuse to enlist even at the risk of court martial. The worst that can possibly happen to you even by immediate desertion from the militia is death, and that would only be possible after a terrific struggle on the part of the government with adverse public opinion. You are not risking as much by desertion as you would be to enlist and go to war. You are taking the chance

William English Walling

of a much more horrible death on the battlefield, or in the hospital. If you are shot at home for the refusal to kill other human beings you can at least die with your own mental integrity as your shroud. If you die on the battlefield while killing other human beings, you can offer no good excuse for the murders you have executed in the interest of the parasite class, that is robbing you and your brothers and sisters."

The "Brigands" of Mexico

DURING the French Revolution every movement of the peasants to take possession of their own, was met by the cry of "brigands" from aristocrats and bourgeoisie. Now we have the same thing in Mexico.

When "the hero of the hour," to quote a press report—I refer, of course, to the noble humanitarian, Henry Lane Wilson, and not to his subordinates, Diaz or Huerta—wanted to justify the conferences held by the latter in the American Embassy, he had only to say, "the Zapatistas are coming," and he had the support of the leading capitalists both of Mexico City and New York.

That Huerta and Diaz and their crew have stolen the whole government of Mexico and hold it by bloodshed, nobody can deny. But ah! there's a difference between them and Zapata's bandits. It is doubtless true that a few of Zapata's followers are professional highwaymen. But they are largely peasants with a program—they intend to confiscate back the land Diaz confiscated from their fathers. And, moreover, they know how to shoot, they know how to die, and they cannot be deceived by lying promises.

Madero, it will be remembered, endorsed the San Luis Potosi program, which proposed to divide the large estates, giving their holders a moderate compensation, and it was largely on this promise that he rose to power. Zapata, as an able article in *Collier's* showed last year, merely demanded the enforcement of the San Luis Potosi program. Yet Madero conducted against him a war of extermination. And now this war is continued without intermission by Huerta. Against the common enemy, the people, plutocrats and aristocrats are brothers, even while their hands are wet with one another's blood.

But Zapata is not alone. As Senator Fall of New Mexico has pointed out, the Liberal Party, the followers of Flores Magon, is strong throughout Mexico. Secretaries Root and Knox did their best to imprison every prominent member of this Party in the United States, but it is growing more rapidly than ever. The Magonistas are largely social revolutionary intellectuals, who, like the social revolutionists of Russia, have taken up the cause of Mexico's masses. A number of rebel commanders have espoused the cause, and its candidate for the Presidency, Emilio Vasquez Gomez, whose election to the Vice-Presidency was quashed by Madero, has also taken the field.

So the people of Mexico are united on the San Luis Potosi program, although under different leaders, and it is the fear of them that has driven all the paid revolutionists, like Orozco, into the Huerta camp. It is not disorder that the capitalists fear in Mexico, but order under the people's rule. And it was the prog-

ress of Madero in the direction of this kind of order—he was notoriously in negotiations with Zapata, and was once on good terms with Gomez and even with Magon—that necessitated his removal.

Dispatches say that Huerta intends to solve the "brigand" problem by enlisting the whole lot of them in his army. Brigands with a uniform on, serving the bloody purposes of capital, and paid with money extorted by "government" from a starving peasantry, would of course no longer be brigands. But, Huerta will scarcely succeed in enlisting them all, for the habit of resistance to tyranny seems to have become ingrained in the whole people of Mexico. And we may predict (as well as hope) that the revolutions in that country will never cease until the people are restored to the land.

More Light on the Labour Party Idea

WILL THORNE, M.P., though long a loyal member of the Labour Party, has always been among its critics. However, as he was elected chairman of the last Trade Union Congress, he must be a person in whom the British workers impose a high degree of confidence. He remarked, at the recent conference of the Labour Party, that of the forty Laborite members of Parliament twenty-seven were not Socialists, and had never said a word for Socialism.

Already the Trade Union Congress has retreated from its demand for public schools like our own, and has left the way open for the Laborites to support the British plan of subsidizing church schools. Thorne expresses a fear that this will become a fixed part of the Party policy. He also fears that the conservative Laborite will stand in the way of Child Labor legislation.

How Not to get Woman Suffrage

THE Laborites of Great Britain have found a novel way to fight for woman suffrage. It will be remembered that 2,500,000 British workingmen are still disfranchised, and these British working men like those of other countries favor "Votes for Women." The government now proposes to give these workers the franchise. By so doing it would add, let us say, 2,000,000 votes to the advocates of woman suffrage. "But no!" answer the brilliant tacticians of the Fabian Society and Independent Labour Party, "we won't allow the ranks of the woman suffragists to be increased, until you grant woman suffrage."

In accordance with these "tactics" the Labour Party has just decided to vote against the most revolutionary proposal to increase the political power of the working-class that has occurred since Gladstone's franchise measure of 1884.

Now, everybody favors the use of strong threats for woman suffrage. But why not threaten to vote against the budget? Why threaten to vote against a measure that the Liberals are not at all anxious to pass anyway? They are not eager to enfranchise 2,500,000 workers. They can probably wait as long as the workers can. So instead of hastening woman suffrage at a cost to the government, these Laborites are retarding woman suffrage at a cost to the extension of suffrage in general.

We Save

You

50%

On

Your

Magazines

GRAB THIS CHANCE

READ THIS SPECIAL OFFER

| | | |
|--|--------|-----------------------|
| The Masses Magazine, retail price | \$1.20 | } Our Price 90c |
| Ladies World & Housekeeper <small>retail price</small> | .60 | |
| Total | \$1.80 | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| The Masses Magazine, retail price | \$1.20 | } Our Price 90c |
| McCalls " " " | .60 | |
| Total | \$1.80 | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| The Masses Magazine, retail price | \$1.20 | } Our Price \$1.50 |
| Good Housekeeping " " " | 1.80 | |
| Total | \$3.00 | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| The Masses Magazine, retail price | \$1.20 | } Our Price \$1.50 |
| Cosmopolitan " " " | 1.80 | |
| Total | \$3.00 | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| The Masses Magazine, retail price | \$1.20 | } Our Price \$1.50 |
| Pearsons " " " | 1.80 | |
| Total | \$3.00 | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| The Masses Magazine, retail price | \$1.20 | } Our Price \$1.50 |
| Hearst's " " " | 1.80 | |
| Total | \$3.00 | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| The Masses Magazine, retail price | \$1.20 | } Our Price \$1.50 |
| Metropolitan " " " | 1.80 | |
| Total | \$3.00 | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| The Masses Magazine, retail price | \$1.20 | } Our Price \$2.10 |
| Business America " " " | 3.00 | |
| Total | \$4.20 | |

SEND ALL SUBSCRIPTIONS TO

THE ROBINSON SALES CO.

508 St. James Bldg.

1133 Broadway

New York City



Drawn by Alice Beach Winter.

MOTHERHOOD

